



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,342	10/05/2000	Patrick F. Leonard	ESI-116-A	7827

7590 03/28/2003

Thomas E Bejin
Young & Basile P C
3001 West Big Beaver Road
Suite 624
Troy, MI 48084

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

KAO, CHIH CHENG G

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2882

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

b6

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/680,342	LEONARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 17-41.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: _____.


ROBERT H. KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicant's arguments that Jackson et al. is "devoid of any teaching that the two-dimensional data may be used to locate three-dimensional data thereby gaining processing efficiency by processing three-dimensional data only at the locations revealed by the two-dimensional data", the limitations are not stated explicitly in the claims. The arguments are based on a method of sequential steps of first processing a two-dimensional image, and then processing a three-dimensional image. However, the claims do not recite such sequential steps. Jackson et al. teaches processing a two dimensional image to identify a plurality of addresses which are characteristic of three dimensional features (col. 6, lines 40-45). Futher, Jackson et al. teaches processing the three dimensional image only at those addresses which correspond to two dimensional addresses characteristic of three dimensional features (col. 6, lines 32-40). The data of the addresses of the two dimensional image and three dimensional image correspond to the same addresses (see col. 6, lines 32-45, where the three-dimensional and two-dimensional processes the same addresses or "area of interest").